# University of Delaware Teacher Candidate Elementary Education Capstone Clinical Experience Evaluation

In order to pass *EDUC 400: Student Teaching*, teacher candidates must pass the DTGSS Evaluation including professional dispositions and certification addendum. Unsatisfactory performance on the FINAL evaluation is a score of 1 in any indicator (including dispositions).

Teacher Candidate: Clinical Educator:

Field Instructor: School and Grade Level: Number of Classes: Total Number of Students:

Performance A	Area #1: LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: To	what extent does the classroom environn	nent support all students to learn?	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Indicator 1.1	Routines, procedures, and behavior expectations	Routines, procedures, and behavior expectations	Routines, procedures and behavior expectations	AND • Students take
Structures for Learning	<ul> <li>are not taught</li> <li>are not clearly communicated, causing loss of instructional time</li> <li>Student behavior</li> <li>detracts from others' learning</li> <li>is not addressed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>are clearly communicated but are not taught to mastery</li> <li>do not match to students' needs</li> <li>require prompts that cause loss of instructional time</li> <li>Student behavior</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>are clearly communicated, and taught to mastery, maximizing instructional time</li> <li>are matched to students' needs</li> <li>Student behavior</li> <li>is appropriate, or</li> </ul>	initiative to manage classroom procedures and their own behavior.  Students prompt others to follow classroom
		<ul><li>interrupts the lesson</li><li>is addressed and improved</li></ul>	when inappropriate, is addressed effectively and does not detract from the lesson	procedures, routines and expectations.

	Clinical Educator  Ratings PC MW PC F					Teacher Candidate				Field Instructor			
Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	
Evidence													

Indicator 1.2
Positive
Classroom
Climate

## Classroom interactions:

- are not respectful
- students do not listen attentively, and teacher does not refocus students' attention

## When working together, students:

do not share work responsibility

#### Students:

- do not persevere
- are not motivated to complete quality work
- are not prompted or encouraged

#### Classroom interactions:

- teacher to student interactions are respectful
- student to student interactions are not respectful unless prompted by the teacher
- students listen attentively to teacher but not to peers
- prompting is not effective

## When working together, students

- share work responsibility
- do not offer support to one another

## Students:

- are prompted to persevere
- praised for their efforts to complete quality work

#### Classroom interactions:

- teacher to student interactions are respectful
- student to student interactions are respectful
- students listen attentively to teacher and peers

## When working together, students:

- share work responsibility
- offer and receive support from one another

#### Students:

- persevere
- complete quality work
- require minimal to no prompting

### AND

- Students independently use problem solving and conflict resolution skills during cooperative learning opportunities.
- Students encourage others to persevere.

## **Clinical Educator**

## **Teacher Candidate**

## **Field Instructor**

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
Evidence												

## Equitable Access

Indicator 1.3

**High expectations for learning and achievement** for all students are not communicated, demonstrated/modeled.

## Students:

- do not have access to a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs and do not have support for learning
- are not provided equitable\*
   opportunities to respond and participate and do not engage

High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are communicated, demonstrated/modeled but with limited impact on students.

#### Students:

 have access to a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs but are not encouraged to use them to support learning. High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are communicated, demonstrated/modeled.

## Students:

- ae provided a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs and encouraged to use them to support learning
- are provided equitable\* opportunities to respond and participate and encouraged to engage

## AND

 Students take initiative to share their interests and perspectives.

- do not share their interests and/or perspectives and do not accept others' interests and perspectives as worthy
- do not share their interests and/or perspectives nor build relationships and connect to their academic work

**Differences** related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are not respected and affirmed.

- are provided equitable\* opportunities to respond and participate but are not encouraged to engage
- are not encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives and to accept others' interests and perspectives are worthy
- are not encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives to build relationships and connect to their academic work

**Differences** related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are addressed inconsistently.

- are encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives and to accept others' interests and perspectives are worthy
- are encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives to build relationships and connect to their academic work

**Differences** related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are respected and affirmed.

\*Equitable is defined as what one needs based on their diverse needs related to background knowledge/experiences, language, ability, etc.

## Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
Evidence												

#### Performance Area #2: ENGAGEMENT IN LEARNING: To what extent does the instruction support and engage all students? Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Indicator 2.1 Lesson objectives are: Lesson objectives are: Lesson objectives are: AND not **aligned** to grade-level or aligned to grade level standards or **aligned** to grade level or proficiency Students make **Objectives for** proficiency standard(s) proficiency standard(s) standard(s) connections between Learning not written in student friendly not written in student friendly written in **student friendly** language what they are currently learning to previous language that state what the that state what the students should language that state what the students students should know and be able should know and be able to do know and be able to do learning and/or to content in other to do accessible but not clearly clearly communicated and accessible disciplines. not clearly **communicated** and to students **communicated** to students accessible to students not **revisited** throughout the lesson revisited throughout the lesson

 not revisited throughout the lesson

Students do not **know** what they are learning or **why** it is important for them to know.

#### Criteria for success:

 is not communicated nor explained to students prior to beginning an assignment Students are able to read or describe what they are learning but not why it is important for them to know.

## Criteria for success:

- is not **aligned** to the objective
- is communicated but not explained to students prior to beginning an assignment

Students are able to **articulate** what they are learning and **why** it is important for them to know.

#### Criteria for success:

- is aligned to the objective
- is communicated and explained to students prior to beginning an assignment

## Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
Evidence												

## Indicator 2.2

# Learning Experiences

## Learning experiences:

- are activities not logically\*\*
  aligned to the objective
- are primarily teacher led
- are not coherently sequenced according to major concepts required to master the objective
- pacing does not provide adequate processing time
- include content input but do not include opportunities for students to process
- do not include an activator or preassessment of students' prior knowledge
- do not include a way for students to summarize their learning

## **Explanatory Devices\*:**

## Learning experiences:

- are logically\*\*aligned to the objective
- are primarily teacher led
- are coherently sequenced but pacing does not provide adequate processing time
- are inconsistent when balancing content input and opportunities for students to process
- include an activator that does not align with the objective
- are not based on data gathered through an activator or preassessment
- do not address prior misconceptions
- include a closing activity but the activity does not provide data that

## Learning experiences:

- are logically\*\* aligned to the objective
- are both teacher and student led/directed
- are coherently sequenced and paced according to major concepts required to master the objective with adequate processing time
- include a balance of content input and opportunities for students to process
- are based on an activator or assessment of prior knowledge and anticipation of misconceptions
- include a strategy for students to summarize their learning

## **Explanatory Devices\*:**

 are varied and well-chosen to match the needs of the learners

## AND

## Learning experiences:

- Students are provided opportunities to progress at different learning rates.
- Students who finish early are engaged in meaningful learning opportunities.
- Students are provided choice in the materials they can use and in grouping arrangements.

	do not support achievement of the objective  Clinical Educator				s student master e  Devices*: matched to the ning	support student achievement of the objective						
	Clinical E	ducator		Teacher Candidate				Field Instructor				
Ratings	Ratings PC MW PC			F	PC	MW	PC	F PC MV			PC	F
Evidence				,							1	
				Checks for understanding:  occur during the lesson but the data is not used to adjust instruction  data is gathered from some but not all								

# and Feedback

## Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

students are not provided opportunities to demonstrate their learning, to relearn, redo or be reassessed.

## Student self-assessment

students are not taught or expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

## Feedback to students is:

not specific or timely

students

## Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are given only a single opportunity to demonstrate their learning
- students are provided an opportunity to redo or be reassessed without an opportunity to relearn

#### Student self-assessment

students are not taught or expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

#### Feedback to students is:

- timely
- related to established criteria for success

- objective
- data is gathered from all students
- data is used to adjust instruction

## Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are given multiple opportunities and options to demonstrate their learning
- reteaching is provided for students who need it
- students are given opportunities to redo and to be reassessed on assignments/tasks

### Student self-assessment

students are taught and expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

assess and determine the steps needed to improve.

#### Feedback

Students are taught and receive guided practice on – how to provide academically focused feedback to each other.

	not accompanied by a clear expectation that the student will use the feedback to revise or improve their performance	<ul> <li>Feedback to student is:</li> <li>timely</li> <li>frequent</li> <li>specifically related to established criteria for success</li> <li>matched to student/s</li> <li>accompanied by a clear expectation that the student will use the feedback to revise or improve performance</li> </ul>	
--	---	---	--

<sup>\*</sup>Explanatory devices are defined as analogies, metaphors, gestures, demonstrations, modeling, think-aloud, physical models, visual representations, graphic organizers, interactive whiteboards, mental imagery, presentation software, minimal and progressive cueing, simulations, educational games, and role plays.

#### **Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate** Field Instructor PC **Ratings** MW PC PC MW PC F PC MW PC F Evidence

Performance A	Area #3: MAXIMIZING LEARNING: To w	hat extent do all students retain and appl	y their learning with productive struggle?	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Indicator 3.1 Rigorous assignments	Assignments are:  • not aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, not matched to students or content, and therefore are not cognitively challenging  • not relevant to the learner and do not require application of knowledge for real purposes  • not differentiated to meet students' needs  Assignments do not require application of content through:	Assignments are:  • aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, not matched to students or content, and therefore are not cognitively challenging • relevant to the learner or require application of knowledge for real purposes • differentiated to meet groups of students' needs  Assignments provide opportunities to apply content by:	Assignments are:  • aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, matched to students and content, and therefore are cognitively challenging  • relevant to the learner and require application of knowledge for real purposes  • differentiated to meet individual students' needs  Assignments provide opportunities to apply content by:	AND     Assignments     provide students the     opportunity to self-     evaluate, reflect and     share their problem-     solving strategies     and/or new ideas.     Students are able to     self-select from     options in     assignments.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Logically aligned means to consider the knowledge and activities necessary to accomplish the objective, a progression in level of difficulty (Blooms Taxonomy), and the gradual release of responsibility to enable students to transfer, retain, and independently apply their learning.

- generating examples
- reviewing material
- leading discussions
- critically analyzing information
- solving problems

- generating examples
- reviewing material
- leading discussions
- critically analyzing information
- solving problems
- ...but many students do not engage in those opportunities.
- generating examples
- reviewing material
- leading discussions
- critically analyzing information
- solving problems

...and students engage in those opportunities.

Students apply content by developing possible solutions, addressing local or global issues, and/or develop creative approaches.

## Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
Evidence												

## Indicator 3.2

# Questioning and Discussion

## Questions

- are not aligned to the objective
- do not follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are not accompanied by wait time, prompts and/or scaffolds to help students answer questions

Students are not expected to support response with evidence and/or explanations.

#### Class discussions are not evident:

- primarily teacher talk
- students do not ask or pose their own questions
- no structures are in place that promote engagement in class discussions

### Questions

- are aligned to the objective
- do not follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are accompanied by wait time but no prompts and/or scaffolds when needed to help students answer questions

Students are prompted to respond to questions using evidence and/or explanations when appropriate.

#### Class discussions are:

- primarily between teacher and students but do not include all students
- primarily among students, but the discussion is low level
- few structures are in place that promote engagement by all students

## Questions

- are aligned to the objective
- follow a **continuum** from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are accompanied by wait time, prompts, and/or scaffolds to help students answer questions

Students are expected to respond to questions using evidence and/or explanations when appropriate.

#### Class Discussions are robust:

- primarily with student talk
- students asking questions of teacher and peers to expand on thinking
- structures in place that **promote engagement** by all students

## AND

- Students engage in accountable talk\* to challenge thinking, push for evidence, and/or refine arguments.
- Students initiate class discussions and peer collaboration.
- Students engage in productive academic discussions where they challenge one another's thinking.
- Students encourage one another for evidence.

## Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
Evidence												

## Indicator 3.3

## Academic Language and Vocabulary

## **Academic Language & Vocabulary is:**

- not used or used incorrectly
- not **explicitly taught** or not expected to be used/known by students

Few to no opportunities are provided for students to use academic language and vocabulary.

Students are not prompted to use academic language or vocabulary and/or not corrected when academic language and vocabulary is misused.

## Academic Language & Vocabulary is:

- modeled inaccurately or used appropriately but errors are selfcorrected
- not explicitly taught but students are expected to know

Opportunities to use academic language and vocabulary are not **authentic and rigorous.** 

Students are prompted to use academic language and vocabulary and are corrected when it is misused.

## Academic Language & Vocabulary is:

- modeled accurately and appropriately
- explicitly taught
- expected to be used/known by students

Opportunities to use academic language and vocabulary are authentic and rigorous.

Students use academic language and vocabulary to explain and elaborate on their thinking with no or minimal teacher prompting.

## AND

- Students take responsibility to help other understand academic language and vocabulary.
- Students prompt other to use academic language and vocabulary.
- Students correct peers when academic language and vocabulary is misused.

## Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
Evidence												

<sup>\*</sup>Accountable talk requires students to further develop what others have said and demands students to use accurate knowledge that is relevant to the discussion and requires students to use appropriate evidence to defend such knowledge.

Professiona		ons tor, the teach	or candidat			l	Rarely	Sometimes, but not consistently		Consistently	No behaviors related to this indicator observed		
AS all ellec	live educa	tor, the teatr	ier candidat	e.			1	2		3	NA		
Professiona	alism 1												
Demonstrat	tes commit	tment to the	belief that a	ll learners c	an achieve by	persisting in	helping each	n learner read		full potential.			
	Clinical	Educator			Teacher (	Teacher Candidate			Field Instructor				
Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	
	_	nitiative, and	a positive at	titude.									
	husiasm, ii	nitiative, and l <b>Educator</b>	a positive at	titude.	Teacher (	Candidate			Field I	nstructor			
	husiasm, ii		a positive at	rtitude.	Teacher (	Candidate MW	PC	F	Field I	nstructor MW	PC	F	
xhibits ent	chusiasm, ii Clinical	Educator	·	,		<b></b>	PC	F			PC	F	
exhibits ent	Clinical	Educator	·	,		<b></b>	PC	F			PC	F	
Ratings Professiona	Clinical PC  Planta 3	MW	PC	F	PC	MW			PC				
Ratings Professiona	Clinical PC  Plism 3 and consider	MW	PC	F	PC	MW			PC	MW			
Ratings Professional	Clinical PC  Plist 3  and consider nt.	MW	PC	F	PC ilies, colleague	MW			PC anding an	MW			
Ratings Professiona	Clinical PC  Plist 3  and consider nt.	MW  The input are	PC	F	PC ilies, colleague	MW es, and other			PC anding an	<b>MW</b> d supporting ea			

## Professionalism 4

Respects learners as individuals with differing personal and family backgrounds, and with varying skills, abilities, perspectives, talents, and interests; he/she is committed to using this information to plan effective instruction.

Clinical Educator				Teacher Candidate				Field Instructor						
	Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	

Clinical Educator					Teacher (	Candidate		Field Ins	tructor			
Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
Professiona							L					
Reflects on		e criticism ar <b>Educator</b>	na guidance,	and approp	riately modif Teacher (	ies nis/ner be Candidate	navior or pr	actice.	Field Ins	tructor		
Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
<b>Profession</b> a		onalism by b	eing on time	represent	ing him/herse	If appropriate	ely through (	dress, langua	age and com	munications	, including	social me
and meeting		Educator			Teacher (	Candidate			Field Ins	tructor		
Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F